

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/009,832                              | 12/17/2001      | Atsushi Takahashi    | 1031.1017           | 1197            |
| 21171                                   | 7590 01/26/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP                      |                 |                      | GIBSON, R           | ANDY W          |
| SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20005                    |                 |                      | 2841                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| -   |   | Applies  | ition No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summany                           |   |  | ,832<br>  |   | TAKAHASHI, ATSUSHI                                  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examin   |   | Art Unit  | 111.  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAN INC DATE of this commun   |  | V. Gibson   | 2841  | MU  |  |  |  |
| Period fo                                       | The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply   | ncation appears on t   | ne cover sneet w  | nın ine correspondence i  | address   |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F<br>MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN<br>ensions of time may be available under the provisions<br>of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come<br>e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3<br>D period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply<br>reply received by the Office later than three months<br>ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no o munication. 30) days, a reply within the si tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a   | event, however, may a<br>tatutory minimum of th<br>will expire SIX (6) MO<br>application to become A  | reply be timely filed<br>irty (30) days will be considered tin<br>NTHS from the mailing date of this<br>ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).                    |   |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) file   | ed on <u>02 December</u>   | <u>2003</u> .   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   | 2b)⊠ This action is  | non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□                               | Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-26</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri   | e withdrawn from co  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | tion Papers   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | The specification is objected to by the   | ne Examiner.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| •   | The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December</u>  |  | accepted or b)[   | objected to by the Example 2  | aminer.   |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any object   | ection to the drawing(s  | ) be held in abeya  | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |
| _   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|   | The oath or declaration is objected t   | o by the Examiner. I   | Note the attache  | ed Office Action or form  | PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| -   | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| * :<br>13)                                      | Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action  Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included  The translation of the foreign late of the copies action  Acknowledgment is made of a claim acknowledgment is made of a claim action. | documents have be documents have be documents have be done on the priority docur on for a list of the ce for domestic priority ed in the first sentential anguage provisional afor domestic priority | een received. een received in a ments have been tule 17.2(a)). ertified copies no under 35 U.S.C ce of the specification has l under 35 U.S.C | Application No n received in this Nation of received. c. § 119(e) (to a provision cation or in an Application been received. c. §§ 120 and/or 121 since | nal application)<br>on Data Sheet.<br>ce a specific |  |  |  |
| Attachmer                                       |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice                                       | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (<br>rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I  |  |   | Summary (PTO-413) Paper N<br>Informal Patent Application (F   |   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/009,832 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-5 in the letter filed December 2,
 2003 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacFarlane (US # 4,463,816). MacFarlane discloses the claimed invention including a weighing conveyor with a load detector (14,16,18), a housing (86, 96) for accommodating the load detector, and a support member (12) attached to the load detector such that the support member protrudes outwardly from the bottom of the housing (Col. 3, lines 26-63).
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cadou et al (US # 5,747,747). Cadou et al discloses the claimed invention including a weighing conveyor with a load detector (56), a housing (52) for accommodating the load detector, and a support member (40) attached to the load detector such that the support

Art Unit: 2841

member protrudes outwardly from the bottom of the housing (Col. 6, line 8 to col. 7, line 15).

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Del Rosso (US # 4,570,729). Del Rosso discloses the claimed invention including a weighing conveyor with a load detector (80, 180), a housing (40, 140) for accommodating the load detector, a support member (48, 148) attached to the load detector such that the support member protrudes outwardly from the bottom of the housing (Col. 4, lines 39-65; Col. 5, line 49 to col. 6, line 33), and a drive source (52, 152) & transmission mechanism (58, 158). Figures 5 & 9 shows that the drive mechanism is located somewhat within, and protected by, parts of the housing.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MacFarlane (US # 4,463,816) in view of Marlow et al (US # 5,563,384) and Blubaugh (US # 3,561,553). MacFarlane et al discloses the claimed invention except for the inclined upper surface on the top of the load cell housing. However, it would have been

Art Unit: 2841

common sense to place an inclined roof on the load cell housings in order to shed debris falling from the conveyor since practically anyone who has ever seem the inclined roof of a house, dog house, bird house, or other building knows that an inclined roof will do exactly that since that is what the inclined exterior roof is designed to do. See MPEP § 2144.03. Furthermore, the examples of Lockery et al (Col. 4, lines 18-21) and Marlow et al (Col. 4, line 54 to col. 5, line 2) show that the use of inclined surfaces to deflect falling debris is known in the weighing art. it would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to place an inclined roof over the load cells of MacFarlane et al to deflect debris inadvertently falling from the conveyor onto the load cell.

8. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindstrom (US # 4,566,584) in view of Marlow et al (US # 5,563,384) and Lockery et al (US # 4,411,327). Lindstrom discloses the claimed invention (see Abstract) except for the housing. However, it is known to place a protective cover over a machine to protect the apparatus from dust & moisture and to protect the workers who might accidentally bump against it and become snagged on a moving part as suggested by the examples of Blubaugh (Col. 2, lines 18-26) and Lockery et al (Col. 4, lines 18-21). It would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to attach a protective housing in the form of a removable shell to the upper part of the device shown in Lindstrom to protect the motor (45), transmission (46), and load cell (18) from damage.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,832

Art Unit: 2841

## Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (703) 308-1765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-5115.

Randy W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841 Page 5